UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,081	10/08/2003	Teruhiko Ueyama	B588-555 (25815.567)	6248
26272 7590 12/16/2009 COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C. JOHN J TORRENTE 1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER	
			MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/681,081	UEYAMA, TERUHIKO			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JUSTIN P. MISLEH	2622			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>JUSTIN P. MISLEH</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>JOHN LAURENCE</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2009</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: 1 (Exemplary)					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Justin P Misleh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner contacted the Applicant to discuss the status of the case and to make suggestions that would bring the case closer to being in condition for allowance. The Examiner recommended that claims be amended to incorporate specific features from figures 3 and 5. Applicant and Examiner agreed to be in communication until the next Office Action.